# Electronic structure of NaFeAs superconductor: LDA+DMFT calculations compared with ARPES experiment

<sup>a</sup>I. A. Nekrasov<sup>1</sup>), <sup>a</sup>N. S. Pavlov<sup>2</sup>), <sup>a,b</sup>M. V. Sadovskii<sup>3</sup>)

<sup>a</sup>Institute for Electrophysics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Ural Branch, Amundsen str. 106, Ekaterinburg, 620016, Russia <sup>b</sup> M.N. Mikheev Institute for Metal Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Ural Branch, S.Kovalevskoi str. 18, Ekaterinburg, 620290,

Russia

We present the results of extended theoretical LDA+DMFT calculations for a new iron-pnictide high temperature superconductor NaFeAs compared with the recent high quality angle-resolved photoemission (ARPES) experiments on this system [1]. The universal manifestation of correlation effects in iron-pnictides is narrowing of conducting bands near the Fermi level. Our calculations demonstrate that for NaFeAs the effective mass is renormalized on average by a factor of the order of 3, in good agreement with ARPES data. This is essentially due to correlation effects on Fe-3d orbitals only and no additional interactions with with any kind of Boson modes, as suggested in [1], are necessary to describe the experiment. Also we show that ARPES data taken at about 160 eV beam energy most probably corresponds to  $k_z = \pi$  Brillouin zone boundary, while ARPES data measured at about 80 eV beam energy rather represents  $k_z = 0$ . Contributions of different Fe-3d orbitals into spectral function map are also discussed.

PACS: 71.20.-b, 71.27.+a, 71.28.+d, 74.70.-b

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The family of iron based high-temperature superconductors first discovered in 2008 [2] still attracts a lot of scientific attention. Experimental and theoretical works on these materials are now discussed in several extended reviews [3, 4, 5, 6]. Detailed comparison of electronic band structures of iron pnictides and iron halcogenides, together with some related compounds was given in Refs. [7, 8].

One of the classes of iron pnictides is the so called 111 system with parent compound  $\text{Li}_{1-x}$ FeAs with  $T_c=18$  K [9, 10]. LDA band structure of the LiFeAs was first described in the Refs. [11, 12].

One of the most effective experimental techniques to probe electronic band structure of these and similar systems is the angle-resolved photoemession spectroscopy (ARPES) [13]. A review of the present day status of ARPES results for iron based superconductors can be found in Ref. [14].

Soon after the discovery of iron based superconductors it was shown both experimentally [15, 16, 17, 18, 19] (mainly by ARPES) and theoretically [20, 21, 22, 23] (within the LDA+DMFT hybrid computational scheme [24]) that electronic correlations on Fe sites are essential to describe the physics in these materials. The main manifestation of correlations is simple narrowing (compression) of LDA bandwidth near the Fermi level by the factor of the order from 2 to 4. At the same time the topology of ARPES determined Fermi surfaces is quite similar to those obtained from simple LDA calculations, showing two or three hole cylinders around  $\Gamma$ -point in the Brillouin zone and two electron Fermi surface sheets around  $(\pi, \pi)$  point.

This work was inspired by recent high quality ARPES data for NaFeAs system [1] and is devoted to the detailed comparison of these results with LDA+DMFT calculations of electronic structure of this system, showing rather satisfactory agreement with these experiments. Thus, only the account of electronic correlations is sufficient to explain the major features of electronic spetrum of NaFeAs, and there is no need for any additional interactions with any kind of Boson modes (as was suggested in Ref. [1]).

### 2. ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE

The crystal structure of NaFeAs has tetragonal structure with the space group P4/nmm and lattice parameters a = 3.9494 Å, c = 7.0396 Å. The experimentally obtained crystallographic positions are the following Fe(2b) (0.75, 0.25, 0.0), Na(2c) (0.25, 0.25,  $z_{Na}$ ), As(2c) (0.25, 0.25,  $z_{As}$ ),  $z_{As}=0.20278$ ,  $z_{Na}=0.64602$  [25]. That is quite similar to LiFeAs crystal structure [9, 11].

In Fig. 1 we show LDA band dispersions (on the right) and densities of states (DOS) (on the left) calculated within FP-LAPW method [26]. Bands in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3)</sup>E-mail: nekrasov@iep.uran.ru

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3)</sup>E-mail: pavlov@iep.uran.ru

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3)</sup>E-mail: sadovski@iep.uran.ru



Fig. 1. LDA calculated band dispersions (right) and densities of states (left) of paramagnetic NaFeAs. The Fermi level  $E_F$  is at zero energy.

vicinity of the Fermi level have predominantly Fe-3d character and are essentially similar to the previously studied case of LiFeAs described elsewhere [11, 12]. The As-4p states belong to the -2 to -5 eV energy interval.

To perform DMFT part of LDA+DMFT calculations we used CT-QMC impurity solver [30, 31]. In order to link LDA and DMFT we exploited Fe-3d and As-4p projected Wannier functions LDA Hamiltonian for about 1500 k-points. Standard wien2wannier interface [27] and wannier90 projecting technique [28] were applied to this end. The DMFT(CT-QMC) computations were done at reciprocal temperature  $\beta = 40$  with about 10<sup>7</sup> Monte-Carlo sweeps. Hubbard model interaction parameters were taken to be U=3.5 eV and J=0.85eV as typical values for pnictides in general and close NaFeAs relative – LiFeAs in particular [32, 33, 34].

Fig. 2 shows the comparison of orbital resolved densities of states for Fe-3d shell of NaFeAs obtained within LDA (gray dashed line) and LDA+DMFT (solid gray and black lines). Solid gray and black lines show LDA+DMFT densities of states obtained by different methods of analytic continuation. Gray lines are obtained directly from DMFT(CT-QMC) Green function  $G(\tau)$  by maximum entropy method [29]. Overall lineshapes of LDA+DMFT densities of states are identical to those already published in the literature for LiFeAs [32, 33, 34] and NaFeAs [34]. Most affected by correlations are Fe-3d( $t_{2g}$ ) orbitals xy and degenerate xz, yz. These orbitals form narrow pronounced peaks near the Fermi level. On the other hand  $\text{Fe-}3d(e_q)$  orbitals  $3z^2 - r^2$  and  $x^2 - y^2$  just remind the broadened LDA densities of states.

To produce LDA+DMFT spectral function maps for direct comparison with ARPES data we need to know the local self-energy  $\Sigma(\omega)$ . To find it we have to perform analytic continuation from Matsubara frequencies



Fig. 2. Comparison of orbital resolved densities of states for Fe-3d shell of NaFeAs obtained within LDA (gray dashed line) and LDA+DMFT (solid gray and black lines). Zero energy is the Fermi level.

to real ones. To this end we have applied Pade approximant algorithm [35]. The fact that both gray and solid lines coinside well in Fig. 2 tells us, that this analytic continuation is done rather satisfactory. Corresponding self-energies for different Fe-3d orbitals near the Fermi level are shown on Fig. 3. From the real part of selfenergy we can obtain the mass renormalization factor for different orbitals:  $m^*/m_{xy} \approx 3.8$ ,  $m^*/m_{xz,yz} \approx 3.9$ ,  $m^*/m_{3z^2-r^2} \approx 2$  and  $m^*/m_{x^2-y^2} \approx 1$ . These numbers agree well with variety of previous theoretical works for LiFeAs and NaFeAs [32, 33, 34]. Thus only the account of local Coulomb correlations on the Fe sites is enough to produce such renormalization and no extra interaction with possible Boson mode is necessary in contrast to the proposal of Ref. [1].

Typically experimental ARPES data are presented



Fig. 3. LDA+DMFT calculated self-energies for different Fe-3d orbils of NaFeAs near the Fermi level. Black lines – real part, gray lines – imaginary part. The Fermi level  $E_F$  is at zero energy.



Fig. 4. Comparison of experimental ARPES (left panel) [1] and LDA+DMFT (middle panel) spectral functions in the MFM high symmetry direction for NaFeAs for the wide range of binding energies containing Fe-3d and As-4p states. On the right panel maxima of experimental (crosses) [1] and theoretical (white lines) extracted from corresponding spectral functions are presented. The Fermi level  $E_F$  is at zero energy.

in a rather narrow energy interval of few tenth of eV close to the Fermi level (for LiFeAs and NaFeAs see Refs. [34, 36, 37, 38, 39]). However, in Ref. [1] ARPES data were measured down to a quite large binding energies about 6 eV with rather high resolution allowing to extract different bands.

In Fig. 4 we compare experimental ARPES spectral functions for NaFeAs (left panel) [1] along the the M $\Gamma$ M high symmetry direction with LDA+DMFT calculated (middle panel) spectral function map for a wide energy window. On both of these panels one can see rather high intensity region from 0 to 0.5 eV formed by quasiparticle bands near the Fermi level and then from -2 to -5 eV we can observe As-4p bands. To compare experimental and theoretical bands dispersions on the right

panel of Fig. 4 we plot the dispersions for the maxima of experimental (crosses) and theoretical (white lines) spectral functions.

According to Ref. [1] ARPES bands line shapes remind very much the LDA bands, compressed by an almost constant factor of the order of 3 for all energies. By analyzing the real part of self-energies from Fig. 3 we can convince ourselves, that this correlation narrowing is essentially frequency dependent. Extended discussion of similar situation was given in our recent work on KaFe<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>2</sub> [42]. Actually, the LDA bands located in the interval from -0.5 eV to 0.25 eV become more narrowed due to correlations. At larger energies, the bands stay at about the same positions as in LDA or get more spread in energy since the slope of the real part of self-energy is changed to the positive one.

As to As-4p bands ARPES experiment resolves only 2 bands instead of 6 (2 As atoms in the unit cell). Despite the general shape of the bands being quite similar in both cases, the experiment shows As-4p states about 0.5 eV lower in energy than obtained in LDA+DMFT. This can be explained in the framework of generalized LDA'+DMFT calculations [40, 41], which allows one a better description of Fe(3d)-As(4p) energy splitting, as was shown for example for KaFe<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>2</sub> system [42]. Indeed our LDA'+DMFT calculations showed, that As-4p states appeared about 0.5 eV lower in energy.

Between quasiparticle bands and As-4p bands there is a rather low intensity region (-0.5 eV - -2 eV) seen in Fig. 4 on the left and middle panels. First of all, it appears because there are almost no bands in this energy interval, and secondly in this region we have a crossover from the well defined quasiparticle bands with quite low damping to the rest of the bands placed at higher binding energies. This fact is illustrated by gray lines on Fig. 3, representing the imaginary parts  $\Sigma''(\omega)$ of LDA+DMFT calculated self-energies for all Fe-3d orbitals. Near energy zero (Fermi level)  $\Sigma''(\omega)$  is about  $0.2~{\rm eV}$  or less for all correlated states. At the same time real parts of the self-energies  $\Sigma'(\omega)$  has negative slope near the Fermi level, which corresponds to well defined quasiparticles. Following  $\Sigma'(\omega)$  behavior one can find that it has peak at about 0.25 eV, which corresponds to the end of quasiperticle region and  $\Sigma''(\omega)$  grows quite rapidly beyond this energy. Nearly the same behavior of  $\Sigma'(\omega)$  and  $\Sigma''(\omega)$  was assumed in the Ref. [1] and related to interaction with some "unknown Boson mode", distinguishing NaFeAs as unconventional superconductor. Again we claim that just the local Coulomb correlations on the Fe sites can do all that alone.

Now we turn to the quasiparticle bands dispersions in the close proximity if the Fermi level. Correspond-



Fig. 5. Comparison of experimental ARPES (panels c and d) [1] and LDA+DMFT (panels b and e) spectral functions in the MIM and AZA high symmetry directions for NaFeAs near the Fermi level. On the panels a and f experimental (crosses) [1] and theoretical (white lines) maxima dispersions of spectral functions are presented. The Fermi level  $E_F$  is at zero energy.

ing comparison of experimental ARPES data and theoretical LDA+DMFT spectral functions for NaFeAs is given in Fig. 5. Here we show only the data for M $\Gamma$ M high symmetry direction, the results for other symmetry drections can be found in the Supplementary Material [44]. Experimental data were obtained at two different rather distinctive beam energies around 80 eV and 160 eV (see panels c and d on Fig. 5). At bird eye view for both beam energies experimental picture looks similar, but in fact there are some remarkable differences. For 84 eV data xy and xz, yz bands close to the Fermi level are more intensive as compared to 160 eV data. On the other hand  $3z^2 - r^2$  band at about -0.2 eV looks more intensive in 160 eV data.

To clarify this fact we suggest following explanation. It is well known that by varying the beam energy in ARPES experiments one can access different values of  $k_z$  component of the momenta [13]. However to get the precise value of  $k_z$  one should know the exact geometry of ARPES experiment [13], work function and inner potential for this particular material [43]. Since we do not know all these precisely, we can try some speculations. In Fig. 5 we plotted LDA+DMFT calculated spectral functions for  $k_z = 0$  (panel b) and  $k_z = \pi$  (panel e). Now moving from  $k_z = 0$  (panel b) to  $k_z = \pi$  (panel e) we can observe the same trend as one goes from 84 eV (panel c) to 160 eV (panel d) beam energy in the experiment.

Although iron based superconductors have pronounced layered structure still these systems are *quasi* two-dimensional and thereby possess some finite dispersion along  $k_z$  axis. This fact is reflected on panels a and f of Fig. 5 where LDA+DMFT spectral function maxima dispersions (white lines) are shown at  $k_z = 0$  (panel a) and  $k_z = \pi$  (panel f). For the case of  $k_z = \pi$  (panel f) xz, yz bands are no more degenerate in  $\Gamma$ -point. One of xz, yz bands branches goes down in energy to -0.2 eV and becomes degenerate with one of  $3z^2 - r^2$  bands. At the same time  $3z^2 - r^2$  band goes down to -0.4 eV at  $\Gamma$ point and becomes more flat. All that, in contrast to the  $k_z = 0$  case, results in higher intensity of LDA+DMFT spectral function (panel e) around -0.2 eV and lower intensity at the Fermi level. The later one agrees better with 160 eV ARPES data, than with 84 eV ARPES data. Here one should stress that xy band and one of xz, yz bands branches right below the Fermi level keep their shapes almost unchanged for both  $k_z = 0$  and  $k_z = \pi$ .

Somewhat larger intensity of LDA+DMFT spectral functions in comparison with experiment near M point arises because of quite strong xy contribution in this region. However in the ARPES data [1] xy band is almost hidden, perhaps due to matrix elements effects (see Supplementary Material [44]). Note also that shown experimental ARPES maxima (crosses on panels a and f) in accordance with Ref. [1] do not depend on beam energy.

#### 3. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have presented the results of extended LDA+DMFT(CT-QMC) theoretical analysis of recent high quality angle-resolved photoemission (ARPES) experiments on a new iron-pnictide high temperature superconductor NaFeAs [1]. The well known and rather universal manifestation of correlation effects in iron-pnictides is the renormalization (narrowing) of conducting bands near the Fermi level by a factor of 2 to 4. Corresponding mass renormalization factors for different orbitals were obtained from LDA+DMFT calculations and, in our opinion, no extra interaction with some "unknown Boson mode" distinguishing NaFeAs as unconventional superconductor is necessary in contrast to the suggestion of Ref. [1].

Also we have shown that ARPES data taken at 160 eV beam energy most probably corresponds to  $k_z = \pi$ Brillouin zone boundary, while the data measured at about 80 eV beam energy reproduces  $k_z = 0$ . Theoretical analysis of spectral weight redistribution support this point of view. Comparison of different Fe-3d orbitals contributions to spectral function maps for vertically and horizontally polarized ARPES data also favors the last statement (see Supplementary Material [44]).

We thank D. Evtushinsky for many helpful discussions of ARPES experimental data, A. Lichtenstein and I. Krivenko for providing us their CT-QMC code and A. Sandvik for making available his maximum entropy program. We are especially grateful to G.N. Rykovanov for providing us the access to VNIITF "Zubr" supercomputer, at which most of our CT-QMC computations were performed. Also part of CT-QMC computations were performed at supercomputer "Uran" at the Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics UB RAS.

This work was done under the State Contract No. 0389-2014-0001 and partly supported by RFBR grant No. 14-02-00065.

- D.V. Evtushinsky, A.N.Yaresko, V.B. Zabolotnyy, J. Maletz, T.K. Kim, A.A. Kordyuk, M.S. Viazovska, M. Roslova, I. Morozov, R. Beck, S.Wurmehl, H. Berger, B. Buechner, S. V. Borisenko, arXiv:1409.1537.
- Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, H. Hosono. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 3296-3297 (2008).
- M.V. Sadovskii, Uspekhi Fiz. Nauk **178**, 1243 (2008); Physics Uspekhi **51**, No. 12 (2008).
- K. Ishida, Y. Nakai, H. Hosono. J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78, 062001 (2009).
- 5. D.C. Johnson, Advances in Physics 59, 803 (2010).
- P.J. Hirshfeld, M.M. Korshunov, I.I. Mazin. Rep. Prog. Phys. 74, 124508 (2011)
- M.V. Sadovskii, E.Z. Kuchinskii, I.A. Nekrasov, JMMM 324, 3481 (2012).
- I.A. Nekrasov, M.V. Sadovskii, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 99, 687 (2014) [JETP Letters, 99, No. 10 (2014)].
- J.H. Tapp, Z. Tang, Bing Lv, K. Sasmal, B. Lorenz, Paul C.W. Chu, A, M. Guloy. Phys. Rev. B 78, 060505(R) (2008).
- X.C. Wang, Q.Q. Liu, Y.X. Lv, W.B. Gao, L.X.Yang, R.C.Yu, F.Y.Li, C.Q. Jin, Solid State Commun. 11-12, 538 (2008).
- I.A. Nekrasov, Z.V. Pchelkina, M.V. Sadovskii. Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 88, 621 (2008) [JETP Letters 88, 543].
- I.R. Shein, A.L. Ivanovskii, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 88, 377 (2008).

- A. Damascelli, Z. Hussain, Z.-X. Shen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 473 (2003).
- A.A. Kordyuk. Fizika Nizkikh Temperatur 38, 1119 (2012); Low Temperature Physics 38, 888 (2012).
- 15. P. Popovich et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 027003 (2010).
- 16. S. Borisenko et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 067002 (2010).
- H. Ding *et al.*, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **23**, 135701 (2011).
- 18. S. T. Cui et al., Phys. Rev. B 86, 155143 (2012).
- D. V. Evtushinsky *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 064514 (2014).
- K. Haule, J.H. Shim and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 226402 (2008).
- L. Craco, M.S. Laad, S. Leoni and H. Rosner, Phys. Rev. B 78, 134511 (2008).
- A.O. Shorikov, M.A. Korotin, S.V. Streltsov, D.M. Korotin and V.I. Anisimov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **135**, 134 (2009); [JETP **108**, 121 (2008)].
- S.L. Skornyakov, A.V. Efremov, N.A. Skorikov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 092501 (2009).
- K. Held, I.A. Nekrasov, N. Blümer, V.I. Anisimov, D. Vollhardt. Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 15, 2611 (2001); G. Kotliar, S.Y. Savrasov, K. Haule, V.S. Oudovenko, O. Parcollet, C.A. Marianetti. Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 865 (2006).
- D.R. Parker, M.J. Pitcher, P.J. Baker, I. Franke, T. Lancaster, S.J. Blundell, S.J. Clarke, Chem. Commun. 16, 2189 (2009).
- P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka and J. Luitz, An Augmented Plane Wave + Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties (Techn. Universitat Wien, Austria), 2001. ISBN 3-9501031-1-2.
- J. Kunes, R. Arita, P. Wissgott, A. Toschi, H. Ikeda, K. Held, Comp. Phys. Commun. 181, 1888 (2010).
- A. A. Mostofi, J. R. Yates, Y.-S. Lee, I. Souza, D. Vanderbilt and N. Marzari Comput. Phys. Commun. 178, 685 (2008).
- M. Jarrell and J. E. Gubernatis, Physics Reports 269, 133 (1996).
- P. Werner, A. Comanac, L. de Medici, M. Troyer, and A. J. Millis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 076405 (2006); K. Haule, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 155113 (2007); E. Gull, A.J. Millis, A.I. Lichtenstein, A.N. Rubtsov, M. Troyer, and P. Werner, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 349 (2011).
- M. Ferrero, O. Parcollet, TRIQS: a Toolbox for Research in Interacting Quantum Systems, http://ipht.cea.fr/triqs; M. Aichhorn, L. Pourovskii, V. Vildosola, V. Ferrero, Michel, O. Parcollet, T. Miyake, A. Georges, S. Biermann, Phys. Rev. B 80, 085101 (2009); L. Boehnke, H. Hafermann, M. Ferrero, F. Lechermann, O. Parcollet, Phys. Rev. B 84, 075145 (2011).
- S. L. Skornyakov, D. Y. Novoselov, T. Gurel, V. I. Anisimov, Pis'ma v ZhETF 96, 123 (2012) [JETP Letters 96, 118 (2012)].

- J. Ferber, K. Foyevtsova, R. Valent, and H.O. Jeschke, Phys. Rev. B 85, 094505 (2012).
- 34. G. Lee, H.S. Ji, Y. Kim, Ch. Kim, K. Haule, G. Kotliar, B. Lee, S. Khim, K.H. Kim, K.S. Kim, Ki-S. Kim, and Ji H. Shim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 177001 (2012).
- H.J. Vidberg and J.W. Serene, J. Low Temp. Phys. 29, 179 (1977).
- 36. S. V. Borisenko, V. B. Zabolotnyy, D. V. Evtushinsky, T. K. Kim, I. V. Morozov, A. N. Yaresko, A. A. Kordyuk, G. Behr, A. Vasiliev, R. Follath, and B. Buchner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 067002 (2010).
- 37. M. Yi, D. H. Lu, R. G. Moore, K. Kihou, C.-H. Lee, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, T. Yoshida, A. Fujimori, Z.-X. Shen, New J. Phys. 14, 073019 (2012).
- 38. S. T. Cui, S. Y. Zhu, A. F. Wang, S. Kong, S. L. Ju, X. G. Luo, X. H. Chen, G. B. Zhang, and Z. Sun, Phys. Rev. B 86, 155143 (2012).
- 39. J. Fink, A. Charnukha, E.D.L. Rienks, Z.H. Liu, S. Thirupathaiah, I. Avigo, F.Roth, H.S.Jeevan, P. Gegenwart, M. Roslova, I.Morozov, S. Wurmehl, U.Bovensiepen, S. Borisenko, M. Vojta, B. Buchner, arXiv:1501.02135.
- I.A. Nekrasov, N.S. Pavlov, M.V. Sadovskii, Pis'ma v ZhETF 95, 659 (2012) [JETP Letters 95, 581 (2012)].
- I.A. Nekrasov, N.S. Pavlov, M.V. Sadovskii. Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **143**, 713 (2013) [JETP **116** (2013)].
- I.A. Nekrasov, N.S. Pavlov, M.V. Sadovskii. Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **97**, 18 (2013) [JETP Lett. **97**, 15 (2013)]; Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **144**, 1061 (2013) [JETP **117**, 926 (2013)].
- V. Brouet, M. Fuglsang Jensen, Ping-Hui Lin, A. Taleb-Ibrahimi, P. Le Foevre, F. Bertran, Chia-Hui Lin, Wei Ku, A. Forget, D. Colson, Phys. Rev. B 86, 075123 (2012).
- 44. See Supplemental Material.

## Supplemental Material to "Electronic structure of NaFeAs superconductor: LDA+DMFT calculations compared with ARPES experiment"

In this Supplement we provide more results of our calculations for other symmetry directions in the Brillouin zone and some additional comparisons with ARPES experiments at different polarizations.

In some sense MXM direction shown in Fig. 1 is the simplest one among others since only few bands are present here. The most intensive region here is around X point. For  $k_z = \pi \ 3z^2 - r^2$  band goes a bit down in energy (panel f). It leads to lowering of intensity around X point for theoretical spectral function (panel e) and quantitatively reproduce ARPES data at 160 eV (panel d).

In Fig. 2 for X $\Gamma$ X high symmetry direction qualitative picture of bands evolution from  $k_z = 0$  to  $k_z = \pi$  is the same as for M $\Gamma$ M direction (see Fig. 5 in the main text). Again the ARPES data at 80 eV (panel c) agrees better with  $k_z = 0$  LDA+DMFT results (panels a,b). Most intensive spots of spectral function are formed at the crossing of xz, yz branches at -0.1 eV. While for  $k_z = \pi$  most intensive region appears around -0.2 eV, where  $3z^2 - r^2$  and xz, yz bands are dominating.

To discuss different Fe-3d orbitals contribution to spectral function maps we used experimental ARPES spectral functions obtained for different polarizations [1]. In Fig. 3 panel a corresponds to vertical polarization ARPES data in the MΓM high symmetry direction taken at 160 eV and panel f – to horizontal polarization. For vertically polarized beam "cap"–like structure around  $\Gamma$ -point is formed mainly by xz, yz orbitals (panels b and d for LDA+DMFT results). Surprisingly the intensity of xy band (panels c and e) is quite low in ARPES data and even not addressed in Ref. [1]. The  $k_z$  dispersion of these bands near the Fermi level is almost absent.

Horizontally polarized beam (panel f) wipes out  $3z^2 - r^2$  band forming "M"-like structure around -0.2 eV. For  $k_z = \pi$  it has higher intensity than for  $k_z = 0$ . It is in better agreement with to 159 eV data.



Fig. 1. Comparison of experimental ARPES (panels c and d) [1] and LDA+DMFT (panels b and e) spectral functions in the MXM high symmetry direction for NaFeAs near the Fermi level. On the panels a and f experimental (crosses) [1] and theoretical (white lines) maxima dispersions of spectral functions are presented. The Fermi level  $E_F$  is at zero energy.



Fig. 2. Comparison of experimental ARPES (panels c and d) [1] and LDA+DMFT (panels b and e) spectral functions in the X $\Gamma$ X high symmetry direction for NaFeAs near the Fermi level. On the panels a and e experimental (crosses) [1] and theoretical (white lines) maxima dispersions of spectral functions are presented. The Fermi level  $E_F$  is at zero energy.



Fig. 3. Comparison of experimental ARPES spectral functions with different polarization (panel a – vertical polarization, panel f – horizontal polarization) [1] and LDA+DMFT spectral functions for different Fe-3d orbitals: panels b-e – xz,yz and xy contributions, panels g,h –  $3z^2 - r^2$  contribution in the MFM high symmetry direction for NaFeAs near the Fermi level for  $k_z = 0$  and  $k_z = \pi$  cases. The Fermi level  $E_F$  is at zero energy.